

OCT. 8. 2004 2:46PM LVM 312 616 5700

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER NO. 7052 P. 4
OCT 08 2004

xxPATENT
Attorney Docket No. 401574/YPLEE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

LEE et al.

Application No. 10/073,045

Art Unit: 1751

Filed: February 12, 2002

Examiner: Vijayakumar, K. M.

For: **TRANSPARENT CONDUCTIVE LAYER
AND IMAGE DISPLAY DEVICE
EMPLOYING THE SAME**

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 9, 2004, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8			
I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action and all accompanying documents are, on the date indicated below, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner K.M. Vijayakumar, Art Unit 1751, Facsimile Number 703-872-9306.			
Name (Print/Type)	XAVIER PILLAI		
Signature	Xavier Pillai	Date	October 8, 2004

10/21/2004 RWIMBUSH 0000003 121216 10073045

01 FC:1201 616.00 DA

In re Appln. of LEE et al.
Application No. 10/073,045

REMARKS

The specification has been amended to correct obvious typographical errors. Claims 9, 10, 17, 22, and 25-27 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 9 and 26 also have been amended to correct obvious typographical errors. New claims 35-38 have been added and are directed to embodiments of the present invention. The amended and new claims are supported by the original claims and specification. No new matter has been added.

The Office Action indicates that claims 9-10, 17, 22, and 25-27 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As indicated, Applicants have rewritten the above claims. In view of the foregoing, claims 9-10, 17, 22, and 25-27 are allowable.

Claims rejected for obviousness have been cancelled without prejudice or estoppel. Claims 35-38 should not be rejected over the cited references.

Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Xavier Pillai, Reg. No. 39,799
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: October 8, 2004